



Standard Practice for Preparation of Test Specimens of Asphalt-Stabilized Soils¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D4223/D4223M; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope*

1.1 This practice covers the selection and proportioning of soils and emulsified or cutback asphalts and the fabrication of 100-mm [4-in.] diameter by 65-mm [2.5-in.] high test specimens.

1.2 All observed and calculated values shall conform to the guidelines for significant digits and rounding established in Practice D6026.

1.3 *Units*—The values stated in either SI units or inch-pound units [presented in brackets] are to be regarded separately as standard. The values stated in each system may not be exact equivalents; therefore, each system shall be used independently of the other. Combining values from the two systems may result in non-conformance with the standard.

1.3.1 The gravitational system of inch-pound units is used when dealing with inch-pound units. In this system, the pound (lbf) represents a unit of force (weight) while the unit for mass is slugs. The rationalized slug unit is not given, unless dynamic ($F=ma$) calculations are involved.

1.3.2 It is common practice in the engineering/construction profession to concurrently use pounds to represent both a unit of mass (lbm) and of force (lbf). This implicitly combines two separate systems of units; that is, the absolute system and the gravitational system. It is scientifically undesirable to combine the use of two separate sets of inch-pound units within a single standard. As stated, this standard includes the gravitational system of inch-pound units and does not use/present the slug unit for mass. However, the use of balances or scales recording pounds of mass (lbm) or recording in lbm/ft^3 shall not be regarded as nonconformance with this standard.

1.4 *This practice offers a set of instructions for performing one or more specific operations. This document cannot replace education or experience and should be used in conjunction with professional judgment. Not all aspects of this practice may be applicable in all circumstances. This ASTM standard is not intended to represent or replace the standard of care by which*

the adequacy of a given professional service must be judged, nor should this document be applied without consideration of a project's many unique aspects. The word "Standard" in the title of this document means only that the document has been approved through the ASTM consensus process.

1.5 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

- C117 Test Method for Materials Finer than 75- μm (No. 200) Sieve in Mineral Aggregates by Washing
- C136 Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates
- D8 Terminology Relating to Materials for Roads and Pavements
- D75 Practice for Sampling Aggregates
- D653 Terminology Relating to Soil, Rock, and Contained Fluids
- D977 Specification for Emulsified Asphalt
- D1074 Test Method for Compressive Strength of Bituminous Mixtures
- D1188 Test Method for Bulk Specific Gravity and Density of Compacted Bituminous Mixtures Using Coated Samples
- D1559 Test Method for Resistance to Plastic Flow of Bituminous Mixtures Using Marshall Apparatus (Withdrawn 1998)³
- D1560 Test Methods for Resistance to Deformation and Cohesion of Bituminous Mixtures by Means of Hveem Apparatus
- D1561 Practice for Preparation of Bituminous Mixture Test Specimens by Means of California Kneading Compactor
- D2026 Specification for Cutback Asphalt (Slow-Curing Type)

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D18 on Soil and Rock and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D18.15 on Stabilization With Admixtures.

Current edition approved Jan. 1, 2012. Published February 2012. Originally approved in 1983. Last previous edition approved in 2006 as D4223-99(2006). DOI: 10.1520/D4223_D4223M-12.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

³ The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.

*A Summary of Changes section appears at the end of this standard

- D2027 Specification for Cutback Asphalt (Medium-Curing Type)
- D2028 Specification for Cutback Asphalt (Rapid-Curing Type)
- D2216 Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass
- D2397 Specification for Cationic Emulsified Asphalt
- D2419 Test Method for Sand Equivalent Value of Soils and Fine Aggregate
- D2726 Test Method for Bulk Specific Gravity and Density of Non-Absorptive Compacted Bituminous Mixtures
- D3740 Practice for Minimum Requirements for Agencies Engaged in Testing and/or Inspection of Soil and Rock as Used in Engineering Design and Construction
- D4123 Test Method for Indirect Tension Test for Resilient Modulus of Bituminous Mixtures (Withdrawn 2003)³
- D4318 Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils
- D6026 Practice for Using Significant Digits in Geotechnical Data

3. Significance and Use

3.1 This practice is intended for the preparation of standard specimens of soil-asphalt mixtures suitable for tests of Test Methods D1559, D1560, D1561, D4123, and other tests using specimens of the above dimensions. This practice is limited to only fine-grained soils as defined in 6.1.

NOTE 1—The quality of the results produced by this practice is dependent on the competence of the personnel performing it and the suitability of the equipment and facilities used. Agencies that meet the criteria of Practice D3740 are generally considered capable of competent and objective testing, sampling, inspection, and so forth. Users of this practice are cautioned that compliance with Practice D3740 does not in itself ensure reliable results. Reliable results depend on many factors; Practice D3740 provides a means of evaluating some of those factors.

4. Terminology

4.1 Refer to Terminology D653 for terms relating to soils and to Terminology D8 for terms relating to asphalt.

5. Apparatus

5.1 All testing equipment is described in the appropriate standards given in Section 2 of this practice.

6. Materials

6.1 *Soils*, shall be combinations of sand, silt, and clay-size materials generally encountered in ground deposits and containing not more than 25 % of material larger than the 4.75 mm (No. 4) sieve. The types of soils considered to be suitable for stabilization with emulsified or cutback asphalts are sands, silty sands, and other fine-grained soils of low plasticity. Generally, a suitable soil will have a sand equivalent test value not less than 25 determined in accordance with Test Method D2419, and the product obtained by multiplying the plasticity index as determined in accordance with Test Method D4318, by the percent passing the 75 μm (No. 200) sieve will not be more than 60.

6.1.1 Soils having more than 25 % passing the 745 μm (No. 200) sieve or a sand equivalent value below 25 are considered

borderline prospects for suitable stabilization with asphalt. Continuation under this practice for such soils should be considered exploratory. However, a soil may still be judged suitable on the basis of subsequent testing, depending on criteria set for the specific objective of the stabilization project.

6.2 *Bituminous Material*, shall be an emulsified asphalt as specified in Specifications D977 or D2397, or a cutback asphalt as specified in Specifications D2026, D2027, or D2028, the type and grade to be as specified.

6.3 *Potable Water*, shall be used in preparing mixtures where required.

7. Preparation of Soil

7.1 Sample the soil in accordance with Practice D75.

7.2 Pulverize approximately 4.5 kg [10 lb] of the air-dry soil in such a manner as to separate the soil particles without reducing the individual particle sizes and screen through a 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve. Record the percentage retained on the 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve. Screen the soil passing the 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve through the 2.00-mm (No. 10) sieve, and if soil-binder aggregations are retained on the 2.00-mm (No. 10) sieve, further pulverize them to break down the aggregations without reducing the individual particle sizes.

7.3 Combine and thoroughly mix the material passing the 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve with the material passing the 2.00-mm (No. 10) sieve and store in tightly closed containers.

7.4 The material retained on the 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve may be reintroduced into the mix at this point, provided it does not exceed 10 % of the total combined weight of all fractions, and the maximum size is not greater than the 19.0-mm ($\frac{3}{4}$ -in.) sieve.

8. Water Content, Sieve Analysis, and Sand Equivalent

8.1 *Water Content*—Determine the water content of at least a 500-g [1 lb] sample of the air-dried soil in accordance with Method D2216. Record water content for use in calculating the dry mass of air-dried soil.

8.2 *Sieve Analysis*—Determine the amount passing the 75 μm (No. 200) sieve on the dry soil of 8.1 in accordance with Test Method C117 (wash test). Determine grain size distribution on the same sample in accordance with Method C136 using the following sieve sizes: Nos. 4, 8, 16, 30, 50, 100, and 200.

8.3 *Sand Equivalent*—Determine the sand equivalent value on a representative sample of the air-dried soil in accordance with Test Method D2419.

9. Preparation of Mixtures

9.1 *Emulsified Asphalt*:

9.1.1 *Mix Proportions*—The emulsified asphalt contents of three trial mixes are estimated by using the centrifuge kerosene equivalent (CKE) test.⁴ The oil ratio determined by the CKE

⁴ See “Mix Design Methods,” *Manual Series No. 2*, The Asphalt Institute, reprinted 1997.